IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA CIVIL DIVISION

IN RE: *ENGLE* PROGENY CASES

**TOBACCO LITIGATION** 

. . . . -

Case No.: 08-CA-80000

DIVISION D

Pertains to: All Cases

# **CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 5**

# I. SCOPE AND APPLICABILITY OF THIS ORDER

This Court previously entered Case Management Order ("CMO") No. 1 on March 12, 2008, CMO No. 2 on June 6, 2008, CMO No. 3 on January 9, 2009, and CMO No 4 on June 26, 2009 to govern the *Engle* Progeny Cases pending in this Circuit. This Case Management Order No. 5 modifies CMO Nos. 1 and 4, but shall not be construed to modify or alter CMO Nos. 1 and 4 except as expressly set forth herein.

# II. MODIFICATION TO CMO NO. 4

Section II of CMO No. 4 (addressing modification of CMO No. 3) is hereby modified as follows: The form Scheduling Order for Trial Designated Cases, attached as Exhibit 1 to CMO No. 4, and referenced in Section II, shall be replaced with the Scheduling Order for Trial Designated Cases attached as Exhibit 1 hereto. The Scheduling Order for Trial Designated Cases, the form of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1, shall be entered for each Trial Designated Case by the judge assigned to the Trial Designated Case.

### III. DEFENDANTS' VERIFIED WORKSHEETS

As directed by CMO No 1, the Plaintiffs have proposed the use of Verified Worksheets to Defendants as an alternative to traditional written interrogatories under Rule 1.340, Fla. R. Civ.

P., and traditional requests for production under Rule 1.350, Fla. R. Civ. P. After reviewing both the respective submissions of the parties and the objections of counsel for all parties and hearing the arguments, the Court approves the use of the Verified Worksheets to Defendants in the form attached as Exhibits 2 (All-Cases) and 3 (Case-Specific).

# A. All-Cases Worksheet:

Each Defendant shall have 30 days from the date of this Order to respond to the All-Cases Worksheet. Within 10 days after the service of Defendant's response, if Plaintiff's Liaison Counsel contends that a particular All-Cases Worksheet response is deficient, Plaintiff's Liaison Counsel shall serve a Notice of Verified Worksheet Deficiency, which specifies with particularity the alleged deficiencies in the All-Cases Worksheet. Each Defendant so served shall have 20 days after service of the Notice of Verified Worksheet Deficiency within which to cure such alleged deficiencies. If Plaintiff's Liaison Counsel contends that the alleged deficiencies are not cured, then Plaintiff's Liaison Counsel may file a single motion to compel in the master case file (*In re: Engle Progeny Cases Tobacco Litigation*, 08-CA-80000) and otherwise comply with the requirements in CMO #1 with regard to consultation with opposing counsel, and the scheduling of a hearing with respect to such motion.

# **B.** Case-Specific Worksheet:

For each current Trial Designated Case with a discovery cut-off later than September 1, 2009 and all future Trial Designated Cases, each Defendant shall complete the Case-Specific Worksheet according to the schedule below. For each Trial Designated Case with a discovery cut-off before September 1, 2009, those Plaintiffs may seek entry of an order requiring completion of a Case Specific Worksheet in the individual case.

Each Defendant must respond to the Case-Specific Worksheet no sooner than 105 days from the close of discovery, but not later than 90 days from the close of discovery. Within 10 days after the service of Defendant's response, if counsel for the Plaintiff contends that a particular Case-Specific Worksheet response is deficient, counsel shall serve a Notice of Verified Worksheet Deficiency, which specifies with particularity the alleged deficiencies in the Case Specific Worksheet. Each Deficient Party will then have 20 days after service of the Notice of Verified Worksheet Deficiency within which to cure such alleged deficiencies. If counsel for Plaintiff contends that the alleged deficiencies are not cured, then counsel for Plaintiff may file a single motion to compel in the individual case and otherwise comply with the requirements in CMO No. 1 with regard to consultation with opposing counsel, and the scheduling of the DONE AND ORDERED at Tampa, Florida, this 9 day of 54 1, 2010.09 hearing.

Circuit Judge

Copies Furnished to:

All counsel of record.

# EXHIBIT 1 TO CMO NO. 5

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA **CIVIL DIVISION**

IN RE: ENGLE PROGENY CASES **TOBACCO LITIGATION** 

> CASE NO.: 08-CA-80000 DIVISION D

Pertains To: Cases Listed on Plaintiffs' Notice of Trial Designated Cases (attached hereto as Exhibit "A")

SCHEDULING ORDER FOR TRIAL DESIGNATED CASES

Pursuant to Case Management Orders Nos. 1, 3, 4, and 5, this Scheduling Order for Trial Designated Cases shall govern the remaining fact and expert discovery and pretrial procedures for cases set forth in Plaintiffs' Notice of Trial Designated Cases filed on ("Designation Date"), attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Event	Deadline
Defendant to serve Plaintiff with notice of potential transfer of venue motion of Trial Designated Case	15 days after Designation Date
Plaintiff to file notice of withdrawal of Trial Designated Case subject to potential transfer motion and designation of a substitute Trial Designated Case	5 days after Defendant gives notice of potential transfer of venue
Plaintiff to serve a list of experts the Plaintiff expects to call at trial, along with expert summaries or reports pursuant to FRCP 1.280(b)(4)	150 days prior to close of discovery(exact date to be determined)
Defendant to serve a list of experts the Defendant expects to call at trial, along with expert summaries or reports pursuant to FRCP 1.280(b)(4)	45 days after Plaintiff serves its list of experts(exact date to be determined)
Plaintiff to serve a list of any rebuttal experts the Plaintiff intends to call at trial, along with expert summaries or reports pursuant to FRCP 1.280(b)(4)	Up to and including 45 days after the designation of defense experts, or up to and including 7 days after the deposition of the defense expert whose opinions the expert is designated to rebut, whichever is

Event	Deadline
	later. In any event, however, Plaintiff shall serve its rebuttal expert designations no later than 45 days prior to the close of discovery. (exact date to be determined)
Defendant to serve a list of any sur-rebuttal experts the Defendant intends to call at trial, along with expert summaries or reports pursuant to FRCP 1.280(b)(4)	Up to and including 30 days after the designation of Plaintiffs' rebuttal experts, or up to and including 5 days after the deposition of the rebuttal expert whose opinions the expert is designated to rebut, whichever is later. In any event, however, Defendant shall serve its surrebuttal expert designations no later than 30 days prior to the close of discovery. (exact date to be determined)
Defendants to serve their responses to the Case-Specific Verified Worksheet.	Not sooner than 105 days prior to the close of discovery, but not later than 90 days prior to the close of discovery.
All fact and expert discovery to be completed	90 days before the beginning of trial (exact date to be determined)
All pretrial dispositive motions and <i>Frye</i> motions, other than motions in limine, to be filed	60 days before the beginning of trial (exact date to be determined)
Plaintiff shall furnish Defendants a final list of witnesses expected to testify. Any witness, other than rebuttal witnesses, not disclosed at this time will not be permitted to testify at trial.	45 days before the beginning of trial (exact date to be determined)
Defendant shall furnish Plaintiff a final list of witnesses expected to testify, including rebuttal witnesses. Any witness not disclosed at this time will not be permitted to testify at trial.	38 days before the beginning of trial (exact date to be determined)
Plaintiff shall furnish Defendant a final list of rebuttal witnesses expert to testify. Any rebuttal witnesses not disclosed at this time will not be permitted to testify at trial.	35 days before the beginning of trial (exact date to be determined)
Parties to exchange catalog of trial exhibits, and make	30 days before the beginning of

Event	Deadline
exhibits available for inspection by opposing counsel	trial (exact date to be determined)
Parties to exchange deposition designations of witnesses whose testimony is expected to be presented by deposition, either by transcript or video	30 days before the beginning of trial (Counter-designations and objections to designations 20 days before the beginning of trial) (exact date to be determined)
All motions in limine must be filed and served, along with memos addressing unusual questions of law	25 days before trial (Responses to motions in limine must be filed and served at least 15 days before the beginning of trial) (exact date to be determined)
All hearings on motions, other than motions in limine, must be scheduled and heard	Not later than 20 days before the beginning of trial (exact date to be determined)
Parties to meet and prepare Joint Pretrial Stipulation	Not later than 20 days before the beginning of trial (exact date to be determined)
Joint Pretrial Stipulation to be filed	5 days before Pretrial Conference (exact date to be determined)
Pretrial Conference	10 to 15 days before the beginning of trial (exact date to be determined by assigned trial judge)
Trial Date	Exact date to be determined by assigned trial judge

	DONE AND ORDERED at Tampa, Florida, this day of	٠,
20		
	CIRCUIT JUDGE	

Copies furnished to: All counsel of record



Plaintiff	Plaintiff Name: Pertains to All Hillsborough County Engle Progeny Cases		
Defenda	Defendant Name:		
Case Nu	ımber: <u>08-80000</u>		
	DEFENDANT'S VERIFIED WORKSHEET		
	(Pertains to All Cases)		
I	NSTRUCTIONS:		
to all Hi	This Defendant's Verified Worksheet provides "generic" information that will pertain llsborough County Engle Progeny Cases. Defendant need only provide the answers to ified Worksheet one time in the "All-Cases" file (08-80000).		
in this la	All references in this form to "Defendant" shall mean the corporate Defendant listed awsuit. If the lawsuit is being brought by a Personal Representative on behalf of a l smoker, all references in this form to "Plaintiff" shall mean the deceased smoker.		
space or	FILL OUT THIS WORKSHEET COMLETELY. If there is not enough room in a on a page to give a complete answer, attach additional pages so that a complete is provided.		
Α.			
p	. Please provide the name, address, and the relationship to the Defendant of all terson(s) who provided information responsive to the questions posed in this Verified Worksheet:		
(3	a).		
N	Name:		
A	Address:		
R	Relationship to Defendant (e.g. Title, Department, etc.):		
- (1	b).		
N	Vame:		
A	Address:		
R	Relationship to Defendant (e.g. Title, Department, etc.):		

(c).	
Nai	me:
Ad	dress:
Rel	ationship to Defendant (e.g. Title, Department, etc.):
(one or mo	Pursuant to Rule 1.310(b)(6), Fla. R. Civ. P., please identify by name the designee re officers, directors or managing agents) to testify on behalf of Defendant currently by Defendant possessing the most knowledge on each of the following subjects:
	a) efforts by Defendant and/or any co-Defendant to study, synthesize, or otherwise utilize nicotine analogues.
	Name:
	b) efforts by Defendant and/or any co-Defendant to determine, quantify, or otherwise analyze the propensity of humans to become addicted to nicotine by smoking cigarettes containing nicotine.
	Name:
	c) efforts by Defendant and/or any co-Defendant to publicize any findings by Defendant and/or any co-Defendant regarding the propensity of humans to become addicted to nicotine by smoking cigarettes containing nicotine.
	Name:
	d) the process of creating reconstituted tobacco (RTS) and the purpose for doing so.
	Name:
	e) nicotine extraction and application during the manufacturing process.
	Name:
	f) the history and process of flue-curing of tobacco by Defendant and/or any co- Defendant, including the health effects (costs and benefits) of flue curing.
	Name:

g) the history, purpose, and effects of pH manipulation in tobacco, including industry research into the issue by Defendant and/or any co-Defendants.
Name:
h) the history, purpose, and effects of tobacco ammoniation, including industry research into the issue by Defendant and/or any co-Defendants.
Name:
i) advertising and other sales promotion of Defendants cigarettes and/or any co- Defendant cigarettes in Florida for the period of January 1, 1930-December 31, 2008.
Name:
j) efforts made during the period of January 1, 1930 – December 31, 2008 by Defendant and/or any co-Defendant to warn the consuming public of Florida of any health risks associated with smoking cigarettes.
Name:
k) the routine habits, policies, practices, standards, and/or procedures for communications between Defendant and the CTR, TI, and/or CTR.
Name:
l) the use of persons or facilities outside the continental United States to conduct smoking and health-related research or to otherwise analyze, study, store, or destroy such research, including documents (as defined by Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.350) created, generated, or obtained in connection with such research.
Name:
m) research performed by Batelle, including Hippo I, Hippo II, Ariel, Mad Hatter, and Janus.
Name:
n) Y-1 tobacco or any other efforts at researching, creating, growing, or producing a genetically modified tobacco plant.
Name:

# C. <u>Verifications</u>

STATE OF FLORIDA ) ss.	
COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH )	
Lorillard:	
I,, am the Company, a Defendant in this action. I have read COMPANY'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' DEFENDANT LORILLARD TOBACCO COMI informed and believe that the Responses are true	ALL-CASES VERIFIED WORKSHEET TO PANY, know the contents thereof, and am
	Name Title Lorillard Tobacco Company
	ERIFIED WORKSHEET TO DEFENDANT norized to do so; that the matters stated therein he information stated therein has been present and/or former employees of Philip
Nan Title Phili	

RJR:	
for R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Comp TOBACCO COMPANY'S RESPO TO DEFENDANT R.J. REYNOL authorized to do so, that he has read contents thereof, that the matters st that there is no individual employ. These responses were prepared with he has relied and the responses, so necessarily limited by the records a discovered in the course of prepar reserves the right to make any chang have been made therein or that more	any ("Reynolds"), that he signs the foregoing R.J. REYNOLDS ONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' ALL-CASES VERIFIED WORKSHEET DS TOBACCO COMPANY on behalf of Reynolds and is duly defended the foregoing interrogatories and responses thereto and knows the stated in such responses are not within his personal knowledge, and see of Reynolds who has personal knowledge of all such matters. In the assistance of employees and counsel for Reynolds upon whom subject to inadvertent and undiscovered error, are based upon and and information still in existence, presently recollected and thus far station of such responses. The affiant further states that Reynolds ges in the responses if it appears at any time that omissions or errors a accurate information is available, and that subject to the limitations true to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.
I declare under penalty of pe	rjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Executed on	
	Name Title RJ Reynolds Tobacco Company

Liggett:	
(See PM Verification language)	
Sworn to and subscribed before me this day	of
	Notary Public, State of Florida
	Commissioned Name of Notary Public
Personally known or produced identification	on

EXHIBIT 3 TO CMO NO. 5

Plaintiff Name:
Defendant Name:
Case Number:
<b>DEFENDANT'S VERIFIED WORKSHEET</b>
(Pertains to Specific Case)
INSTRUCTIONS:
All references in this form to "Defendant" shall mean the corporate Defendant listed in this lawsuit. If the lawsuit is being brought by a Personal Representative on behalf of a deceased smoker, all references in this form to "Plaintiff" shall mean the deceased smoker.
FILL OUT THIS WORKSHEET COMLETELY. If there is not enough room in a space or on a page to give a complete answer, attach additional pages so that a complete answer is provided.
A. Interrogatories
1. Please provide the name, address, and the relationship to the Defendant of all person(s) who provided information responsive to the questions posed in this Verified Worksheet:
(a).
Name:
Address:
Relationship to Defendant (e.g. Title, Department, etc.):
(b).
Name:
Address:
Relationship to Defendant (e.g. Title, Department, etc.):

(c	).
N	ame:
A	ddress:
R	elationship to Defendant (e.g. Title, Department, etc.):
Defendan	Does Defendant contend that the Plaintiff used any product manufactured by it in a manner not intended or reasonably foreseeable to Defendant? If so, please in detail every such act or omission by the Plaintiff and every fact supporting that in.
Yes	No
If yes:	
Defendan	Does Defendant contend that the Plaintiff used any product manufactured by any other in a manner not intended or reasonably foreseeable to that Defendant? If so, please n detail every such act or omission by the Plaintiff and every fact supporting that n.
Yes	No
If yes:	
part for th	Does Defendant contend that any person or entity is or may be liable in whole or in the claims asserted against it in this action? If yes, please state for each: (a) their name, gal basis for the contention, and (c) the facts and evidence upon which the contention is
Yes	No
If Yes:	1) Name:
	Legal Basis:

Legal Basis:  Facts and Evidence:  5. In questions 25 and 28 of the Plaintiff's Verified Worksheet, Plaintiff identified his/her health care providers. Has Defendant ever identified any of Plaintiff's health care providers as an expert witness in any lawsuit pertaining to smoking and health litigation from January 1, 1990 to the present?  Yes No  If Yes, please state for each health care provider:  1) The identity of the health care provider:			Facts and Evidence:
Facts and Evidence:  5. In questions 25 and 28 of the Plaintiff's Verified Worksheet, Plaintiff identified his/her health care providers. Has Defendant ever identified any of Plaintiff's health care providers as an expert witness in any lawsuit pertaining to smoking and health litigation from January 1, 1990 to the present?  Yes No  If Yes, please state for each health care provider:  1) The identity of the health care provider:  2) The case caption(s), jurisdiction(s), and case number(s) in which the health care provider was identified:  6. Has Defendant made any agreement with any person or entity that would limit Defendant's liability to Plaintiff for any of the damages sued upon in this action?  Yes No			2) Name:
5. In questions 25 and 28 of the Plaintiff's Verified Worksheet, Plaintiff identified his/her health care providers. Has Defendant ever identified any of Plaintiff's health care providers as an expert witness in any lawsuit pertaining to smoking and health litigation from January 1, 1990 to the present?  Yes No  If Yes, please state for each health care provider:  1) The identity of the health care provider:  2) The case caption(s), jurisdiction(s), and case number(s) in which the health care provider was identified:  6. Has Defendant made any agreement with any person or entity that would limit Defendant's liability to Plaintiff for any of the damages sued upon in this action?  Yes No			Legal Basis:
5. In questions 25 and 28 of the Plaintiff's Verified Worksheet, Plaintiff identified his/her health care providers. Has Defendant ever identified any of Plaintiff's health care providers as an expert witness in any lawsuit pertaining to smoking and health litigation from January 1, 1990 to the present?  Yes No  If Yes, please state for each health care provider:  1) The identity of the health care provider:  2) The case caption(s), jurisdiction(s), and case number(s) in which the health care provider was identified:  6. Has Defendant made any agreement with any person or entity that would limit Defendant's liability to Plaintiff for any of the damages sued upon in this action?  Yes No			
If Yes, please state for each health care provider:  1) The identity of the health care provider:  2) The case caption(s), jurisdiction(s), and case number(s) in which the health care provider was identified:  6. Has Defendant made any agreement with any person or entity that would limit Defendant's liability to Plaintiff for any of the damages sued upon in this action?  Yes No	provi	er healt ders as	h care providers. Has Defendant ever identified any of Plaintiff's health care an expert witness in any lawsuit pertaining to smoking and health litigation from
1) The identity of the health care provider:  2) The case caption(s), jurisdiction(s), and case number(s) in which the health care provider was identified:  6. Has Defendant made any agreement with any person or entity that would limit Defendant's liability to Plaintiff for any of the damages sued upon in this action?  Yes No	Yes		No
2) The case caption(s), jurisdiction(s), and case number(s) in which the health care provider was identified:  6. Has Defendant made any agreement with any person or entity that would limit Defendant's liability to Plaintiff for any of the damages sued upon in this action?  Yes No			
6. Has Defendant made any agreement with any person or entity that would limit Defendant's liability to Plaintiff for any of the damages sued upon in this action?  Yes No		If Ye	s, please state for each health care provider:
Defendant's liability to Plaintiff for any of the damages sued upon in this action?  Yes No			•
		1)	The identity of the health care provider:  The case caption(s), jurisdiction(s), and case number(s) in which the health care
If Yes, please summarize the terms of the agreement and the parties to it.	Defei	1) 2) 6. H	The identity of the health care provider:  The case caption(s), jurisdiction(s), and case number(s) in which the health care provider was identified:  as Defendant made any agreement with any person or entity that would limit
		1) 2) 6. Handant's	The identity of the health care provider:  The case caption(s), jurisdiction(s), and case number(s) in which the health care provider was identified:  as Defendant made any agreement with any person or entity that would limit liability to Plaintiff for any of the damages sued upon in this action?
		1) 2) 6. Handant's	The identity of the health care provider:  The case caption(s), jurisdiction(s), and case number(s) in which the health care provider was identified:  as Defendant made any agreement with any person or entity that would limit liability to Plaintiff for any of the damages sued upon in this action?  No
		1) 2) 6. Handant's	The identity of the health care provider:  The case caption(s), jurisdiction(s), and case number(s) in which the health care provider was identified:  as Defendant made any agreement with any person or entity that would limit liability to Plaintiff for any of the damages sued upon in this action?  No

agents,	or you	the names and address of all persons who are believed or known by you, your rattorneys to have any knowledge concerning any of the issues in this lawsuit; and bject matter about which the witness has knowledge. (Please attach additional sary).
ä	a)	Name:
		Address:
		Subject matter:
ł	b)	Name:
		Address:
		Subject matter:
C	c)	Name:
		Address:
		Subject matter:
C	d)	Name:
		Address:
		Subject matter:
6	e)	Name:
		Address:
		Subject Matter:
endemic illness P	to any Plaintif	es Defendant contend that any event, factor, occurrence, or condition intrinsic or y location Plaintiff lived or worked contributed or may have contributed to any f suffered, which Plaintiff has alleged was caused by smoking? If so, please state y the location, time period, and every fact supporting that contention.
Yes		No
I	f Yes:	
a	a) Loc	eation:
	Tin	ne Period:
	Fac	t(s):

b)	Location:
	Time Period:
	Fact(s):
c)	Location:
	Time Period:
	Fact(s):
other even have contr	Other than those items listed in response to Question 9, does Defendant contend any t, factor, occurrence, or condition (environmental or otherwise) contributed or may ributed to any illness Plaintiff suffered, which Plaintiff has alleged was caused by If so, please state with specificity the time period and every fact supporting that is.
	a)
	Time Period:
	Fact(s):
	b)
	Time Period:
	Fact(s):
	Does Defendant contend that Plaintiff does <u>not</u> qualify as a member of the <i>Engle</i> ves, please set forth every fact supporting this contention.
Yes	No
If	Yes:

11. Does Defendant contend that Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the statute of limitations, repose, and/or related doctrines? If yes, please set forth every fact supporting this contention.				
Yes No				
If Yes:				
identified cigarette brands used by Plainti currently uses. For those brands either lis have been discovered to have been used b	d 18 of the Plaintiff's Verified Worksheet, Plaintiff ff prior to November 21, 1996 and brands that Plaintiff ted in Plaintiff's Verified Worksheet, or brands which y Plaintiff through other means of discovery, please factured and year it stopped being manufactured by additional sheets if necessary).			
a) Brand:	Years of Manufacture:			
b) Brand:	Years of Manufacture:			
c) Brand:	Years of Manufacture:			
d) Brand:	Years of Manufacture:			
e) Brand:	Years of Manufacture:			
f) Brand:	Years of Manufacture:			
g) Brand:	Years of Manufacture:			

# B. Documents

Attach copies of all documents listed below in your possession, custody, or control and/or identify the responsive documents you possess and state a time and place they will be made available. If Defendants direct Plaintiffs to their respective document websites in response to requests contained in this Verified Defendants' Worksheet and plaintiffs have difficulty locating the documents they seek, Defendants will provide assistance to Plaintiffs as set forth in CMO #1. In addition, Defendants shall provide Plaintiffs with a glossary, index of relevant search terms and/or documents identifying key term coding which are applicable to their respective websites if any such items exist.

- 1. A legible/audible copy of each transcript or audio recording of any statement made at any time by Plaintiff and his/her Personal Representative (if applicable).
- 2. Every document Defendant contends proves or tends to prove that Plaintiff knew any health risks associated with smoking.
- 3. Every document that Defendant contends Plaintiff read or viewed or otherwise encountered or perceived such that Defendant contends it alerted or should have alerted Plaintiff to any health risks associated with smoking cigarettes.
- 4. Every document that Defendant contends proves or tends to prove that Plaintiff knew cigarettes and/or nicotine are addictive.
- 5. Every document that Defendant contends proves or tends to prove that Plaintiff should have known cigarettes and/or nicotine are addictive.
- 6. Every advertisement Defendant contends Plaintiff read or reviewed or otherwise encountered or perceived such that Defendant contends it alerted or should have alerted Plaintiff to any health risks associated with smoking cigarettes.
- 7. Every document supporting any contention that any event, factor, occurrence or condition intrinsic or endemic to where Plaintiff lived or worked may have contributed to any illness Plaintiff suffered, which Plaintiff has alleged was caused by smoking.
- 8. Every document in Defendant's possession, custody, or control, which was not generated or obtained in connection with this action and which bears the name or other identifying information for Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Personal Representative (if applicable).

# C. <u>Verifications</u>

STATE OF FLORIDA ) ss. COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH )	
Lorillard:	
I,, am the Company, a Defendant in this action. I have read COMPANY'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS'. DEFENDANT LORILLARD TOBACCO COMF informed and believe that the Responses are true	ALL-CASES VERIFIED WORKSHEET TO PANY, know the contents thereof, and am
	Name Title Lorillard Tobacco Company
PM USA:	
	RIFIED WORKSHEET TO DEFENDANT norized to do so; that the matters stated therein he information stated therein has been present and/or former employees of Philip
Nan Title Phili	

RJR:	
for R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Compa TOBACCO COMPANY'S RESPO TO DEFENDANT R.J. REYNOLI authorized to do so, that he has read contents thereof, that the matters sta that there is no individual employed These responses were prepared with he has relied and the responses, so necessarily limited by the records a discovered in the course of prepara reserves the right to make any change have been made therein or that more	sworn, deposes and states that he is many ("Reynolds"), that he signs the foregoing R.J. REYNOLDS INSE TO PLAINTIFFS' ALL-CASES VERIFIED WORKSHEET DS TOBACCO COMPANY on behalf of Reynolds and is duly the foregoing interrogatories and responses thereto and knows the ated in such responses are not within his personal knowledge, and see of Reynolds who has personal knowledge of all such matters the assistance of employees and counsel for Reynolds upon whom abject to inadvertent and undiscovered error, are based upon and information still in existence, presently recollected and thus fait ation of such responses. The affiant further states that Reynolds ges in the responses if it appears at any time that omissions or errors accurate information is available, and that subject to the limitations rue to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.
I declare under penalty of per	jury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Executed on	
	Name Title RJ Reynolds Tobacco Company

Liggett:	
(See PM Verification language)	
Sworn to and subscribed before me this day	of
	Notary Public, State of Florida
	Commissioned Name of Notary Public
Personally known or produced identification	on
Type of identification produced	